International Journal of Scholarly
Research and Reviews
Journal homepage: https://srrjournals.com/ijsrr/
ISSN: 2961-3299 (Online)

(Review Article)

Check for updates

Quantitative interpretation in petrophysics: Unlocking hydrocarbon potential through theoretical approaches

Henry Oziegbe Iriogbe [1, *], Chukwuemeka Obed Ebeh [2] and Femi Bamidele Onita [3]

[1] *Shell Petroleum Development Company, Nigeria.*
[2] *NNPC Nigeria.*
[3] *Shell Deep water, Gulf of Mexico. USA.*

International Journal of Scholarly Research and Reviews, 2024, 05(01), 068–078

Publication history: Received on 06 July 2024; revised on 17 August 2024; accepted on 20 August 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.56781/ijsrr.2024.5.1.0043

Abstract

Quantitative interpretation in petrophysics is an essential component in the exploration and development of hydrocarbon reservoirs. This paper delves into the theoretical foundations of petrophysical properties, such as porosity, permeability, and fluid saturation, and examines the mathematical models employed for data interpretation. It highlights the significance of integrating various types of petrophysical data, including core samples, well logs, and seismic surveys, to develop a comprehensive understanding of subsurface formations. Emphasis is placed on ensuring data quality and managing uncertainties through rigorous calibration and validation processes. The paper further explores the advanced techniques used in log and seismic interpretation, showcasing how these methods contribute to accurate reservoir characterization. The integration of machine learning and artificial intelligence in petrophysical analysis is discussed, underscoring their role in enhancing data interpretation and reducing uncertainties. The applications and implications of quantitative interpretation are examined, particularly its impact on hydrocarbon exploration, which aids in identifying potential reservoirs, and reservoir characterization, which provides detailed insights into reservoir properties and performance. Overall, the paper illustrates how quantitative interpretation in petrophysics improves the accuracy and reliability of subsurface evaluations and optimizes hydrocarbon recovery and management. By leveraging advanced computational techniques and integrating diverse data sources, quantitative interpretation drives innovation and efficiency in the hydrocarbon industry, ensuring sustainable and effective resource utilization.

Keywords: Quantitative Interpretation; Petrophysics; Hydrocarbon Exploration; Reservoir Characterization; Machine Learning

1 Introduction

Petrophysics is the branch of geosciences that focuses on rocks' physical and chemical properties and their interactions with fluids. It is a critical field in the exploration and production of hydrocarbons because it provides essential data for evaluating and developing oil and gas reservoirs. By analyzing the properties of rock formations, such as porosity, permeability, and fluid saturation, petrophysicists can predict the presence and volume of hydrocarbons in subsurface formations. These predictions are crucial for determining the economic viability of drilling and guiding the development of extraction strategies (Dentith, Enkin, Morris, Adams, & Bourne, 2020; Gluyas & Swarbrick, 2021).

Petrophysics combines principles from various disciplines, including geology, physics, chemistry, and engineering, to understand the complex interactions between rocks and fluids. The data obtained from petrophysical analysis are used to create models that simulate reservoir behavior, helping to optimize production techniques and improve recovery

* Corresponding author: Henry Oziegbe Iriogbe

rates. In essence, petrophysics bridges geoscientific research and practical applications in the hydrocarbon industry, making it an indispensable tool for energy resource management (Aghli, Moussavi-Harami, & Tokhmechi, 2020; Bealessio, Alonso, Mendes, Sande, & Hascakir, 2021).

1.1 Importance of Quantitative Interpretation

Quantitative interpretation in petrophysics involves using mathematical and statistical methods to analyze and interpret petrophysical data. This approach is crucial because it provides a more accurate and objective understanding of subsurface formations compared to qualitative methods. Quantitative interpretation allows for the precise measurement and characterization of rock and fluid properties, enabling better decision-making in exploration and production activities (Anifowose, Mezghani, Badawood, & Ismail, 2022).

One of the primary advantages of quantitative interpretation is its ability to integrate data from various sources, such as well logs, core samples, and seismic surveys. By combining these different data types, petrophysicists can develop comprehensive models that provide a detailed picture of the subsurface environment. This integration is essential for identifying hydrocarbon-bearing zones, estimating reserves, and planning drilling operations (Verma, Bhattacharya, Fett, Avseth, & Lehocki, 2022). Moreover, quantitative interpretation helps in reducing uncertainties associated with reservoir characterization. In the hydrocarbon industry, making decisions based on incomplete or inaccurate information can lead to significant financial losses. By employing quantitative methods, petrophysicists can better estimate the spatial distribution of reservoir properties and predict their behavior under different production scenarios. This improved accuracy translates into more efficient resource management and higher recovery rates (Daraojimba et al., 2023; Gupta et al., 2020).

1.2 Objectives

The primary aim of this paper is to explore the theoretical approaches underpinning quantitative interpretation in petrophysics and to demonstrate how these approaches unlock the hydrocarbon potential of subsurface formations. The paper will provide a comprehensive overview of the key concepts and methodologies in quantitative interpretation, highlighting their significance and applications in the hydrocarbon industry.

Firstly, the paper will delve into the theoretical foundations of petrophysical properties, such as porosity, permeability, and fluid saturation, and discuss how these properties are quantified. It will examine the mathematical models and principles that form the basis of quantitative petrophysical analysis, providing a clear understanding of the science behind the measurements.

Secondly, the paper will discuss the data types used in petrophysical analysis and the methods for acquiring and processing this data. Emphasis will be placed on integrating various data sources, such as well logs, core samples, and seismic data, to create a cohesive and accurate picture of the subsurface environment. The paper will also address the importance of data quality and the strategies used to manage uncertainties in petrophysical measurements.

Thirdly, the paper will explore the different techniques used for quantitative interpretation, including log interpretation, seismic interpretation, and advanced computational methods such as machine learning and artificial intelligence. By examining these techniques, the paper will illustrate how quantitative interpretation enhances the understanding of subsurface formations and improves decision-making in hydrocarbon exploration and production. Lastly, the paper will discuss the practical applications and implications of quantitative interpretation in petrophysics. It will highlight how these methods contribute to hydrocarbon exploration, reservoir characterization, and resource management. Additionally, the paper will look at future trends and emerging technologies in quantitative petrophysical interpretation, providing insights into the ongoing evolution of the field.

2 Theoretical Foundations

2.1 Petrophysical Properties

Petrophysical properties are the fundamental parameters that describe reservoir rocks' physical and chemical characteristics and their interactions with fluids. Among these properties, porosity, permeability, and fluid saturation are paramount for understanding and evaluating hydrocarbon reservoirs (Okon, Adewole, & Uguma, 2021). Porosity measures the void spaces within a rock, typically expressed as a percentage of the total volume. It indicates the storage capacity of the rock for fluids, including hydrocarbons and water. Porosity can be classified into primary porosity, formed during the rock's initial deposition and lithification, and secondary porosity, which results from subsequent geological processes such as fracturing and dissolution. Accurate porosity measurement is essential for estimating the volume of recoverable hydrocarbons in a reservoir (Tiab & Donaldson, 2024).

Permeability measures the rock's ability to transmit fluids through its pore network. It is typically expressed in millidarcies (mD) and depends on the pores' size, shape, and connectivity. High permeability indicates that fluids can flow easily through the rock, critical for efficient hydrocarbon production. The relationship between porosity and permeability is not always straightforward, as rocks with similar porosities can have vastly different permeabilities due to pore structure and connectivity variations (Abelly, Yang, Ngata, Mwakipunda, & Shanghvi, 2024; Ganat, 2020).

Fluid saturation refers to the proportion of pore space occupied by different fluids, such as oil, gas, and water. Saturation values are crucial for determining the composition and distribution of fluids within the reservoir. Water saturation (Sw) is significant, as it affects the calculation of hydrocarbon saturation (Sh), the fraction of the pore space filled with hydrocarbons. Accurate estimation of fluid saturation is necessary for evaluating the potential productivity of a reservoir and planning extraction strategies (Y. Li et al., 2021).

2.2 Mathematical Models

Mathematical models play a pivotal role in the quantitative interpretation of petrophysical data. These models are designed to describe and predict the behavior of petrophysical properties under various conditions, providing a framework for integrating and analyzing diverse data sets.

One of the most commonly used models in petrophysics is Archie's Law, which relates the electrical resistivity of a rock to its porosity and water saturation. Developed by Gus Archie in the 1940s, this empirical model is fundamental for interpreting resistivity logs and estimating hydrocarbon saturation (Kennedy, 2015). The basic form of Archie's Law shown in Eq 1 is:

$$S_w = \left(\frac{a}{\phi^m} \frac{R_w}{R_t} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad \text{................(1)}$$

Where S_w is the water saturation, ϕ is the porosity, R_w is the resistivity of the formation water, R_t is the true resistivity of the formation, a is the tortuosity factor, m is the cementation exponent, and n is the saturation exponent. Variations and extensions of Archie's Law are used to account for different rock types and complex pore geometries (Balberg, 2021).

Another important model is the Kozeny-Carman equation, which relates permeability to porosity and the specific surface area of the pores. This model is particularly useful for estimating permeability from porosity measurements in sedimentary rocks. The Kozeny-Carman equation is expressed as:

$$k = \frac{C.\phi^3}{(1-\phi)^2.S^2} \quad \text{..............................} \quad (2)$$

Where k is the permeability, ϕ is the porosity, S is the specific surface area, and C is a constant that depends on the shape and packing of the grains. The equation highlights the influence of pore structure on fluid flow and is widely used in reservoir characterization. In addition to these classical models, advanced computational techniques, including machine learning and artificial intelligence, are increasingly being employed to develop data-driven models for petrophysical interpretation. These techniques can identify complex patterns and relationships in large data sets, providing more accurate and robust predictions of petrophysical properties (P.-N. Li, Xu, & Wang, 2023; Wang, Wang, Xu, Zheng, & Kang, 2021).

2.3 Principles of Quantitative Analysis

The principles of quantitative analysis in petrophysics revolve around the systematic measurement, integration, and interpretation of data to derive meaningful insights into subsurface formations. These principles are grounded in the scientific method, emphasizing accuracy, objectivity, and reproducibility.

One of the core principles is calibrating measurement tools and techniques to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the data. This involves using standard samples and reference materials to calibrate logging tools, core analysis equipment, and laboratory instruments. Calibration reduces measurement errors and ensures consistency across different data sources (Babayeju, Jambol, & Esiri, 2024). Another critical principle is integrating data from multiple sources and comprehensively understanding the reservoir. This includes combining well-log data, core samples, and seismic surveys to create a unified subsurface model. Each data type provides unique information, and their integration allows for cross-validation and a more detailed characterization of the reservoir properties (Bhattacharya, 2021; Ozowe, Ogbu, & Ikevuje, 2024).

Uncertainty quantification is also a fundamental principle of quantitative analysis. Inherent uncertainties in petrophysical measurements, such as those arising from instrument precision, geological variability, and interpretive assumptions, must be quantified and managed. Statistical methods, including error analysis and probabilistic modeling, estimate and incorporate uncertainties into the interpretation process. This approach enables more informed decision-making and risk management in hydrocarbon exploration and production (Eyinla, Oladunjoye, Olayinka, & Bate, 2021; Gooneratne et al., 2020).

Furthermore, using advanced computational techniques, such as machine learning and artificial intelligence, embodies quantitative analysis principles. These techniques enable the analysis of large and complex data sets, uncovering hidden patterns and relationships that traditional methods might overlook. Machine learning algorithms can be trained to predict petrophysical properties from well-log data, seismic attributes, and other sources, improving the accuracy and efficiency of reservoir characterization (Ali et al., 2023).

In conclusion, the theoretical foundations of quantitative interpretation in petrophysics encompass a detailed understanding of petrophysical properties, the application of mathematical models, and adherence to quantitative analysis principles. These elements collectively enhance the ability to characterize and evaluate hydrocarbon reservoirs, ultimately contributing to more effective exploration and production strategies. Integrating classical theories with modern computational approaches continues to advance the field, unlocking new potential in the quest for energy resources.

3 Data Acquisition and Processing

3.1 Types of Petrophysical Data

In petrophysics, acquiring accurate and diverse data is crucial for comprehensively analyzing and interpreting subsurface formations. The primary types of petrophysical data include core samples, well logs, and seismic data, each providing unique and complementary information about the reservoir properties.

Core Samples: Core samples are cylindrical sections of rock extracted from the subsurface during drilling operations. These samples provide direct physical evidence of the rock's properties and are analyzed in laboratories to determine key petrophysical parameters such as porosity, permeability, and fluid saturation. Core analysis includes techniques such as thin-section petrography, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and X-ray diffraction (XRD) to study the mineral composition, pore structure, and fluid content of the rocks. Core samples are invaluable for calibrating and validating well log and seismic data, offering a ground-truth reference for petrophysical interpretations (Ahmad et al., 2020).

Well Logs: Well logging involves continuously recording petrophysical properties along the borehole using a suite of downhole tools. These tools measure various physical parameters such as electrical resistivity, acoustic velocity, gamma radiation, and neutron porosity. Well logs provide a high-resolution vertical profile of the subsurface, revealing changes in rock and fluid properties with depth. Critical well logs include the resistivity log, used to identify hydrocarbon-bearing zones; the sonic log, which measures acoustic properties to infer rock mechanical properties; and the gamma-ray log, which helps distinguish between different lithologies based on natural radioactivity. Well logs are essential for correlating core sample data and extending interpretations across the reservoir (Jarzyna, Baudzis, Janowski, & Puskarczyk, 2021).

Seismic Data: Seismic surveys involve the generation of acoustic waves and the recording their reflections from subsurface geological structures. Seismic data provide a three-dimensional image of the subsurface, allowing for the identification of large-scale features such as faults, folds, and stratigraphic boundaries. Seismic interpretation involves the analysis of seismic attributes such as amplitude, frequency, and velocity to infer rock properties and fluid content. Advanced seismic techniques, such as 3D and 4D seismic (time-lapse seismic), enhance the resolution and provide dynamic monitoring of reservoir changes over time. Seismic data are crucial for mapping reservoir geometry, identifying structural traps, and planning drilling locations (Nanda, 2021b).

3.2 Data Integration

Integrating different types of petrophysical data is essential for developing a comprehensive and accurate subsurface model. Each data type provides distinct information, and their integration allows for a more robust and reliable interpretation of reservoir properties.

Well-to-Core Integration: Integrating well-log data with core sample data involves calibrating log measurements against direct core measurements. This process helps correct for any discrepancies due to tool responses and environmental conditions. By correlating core-derived porosity and permeability with log responses, petrophysicists can refine log interpretations and improve the accuracy of reservoir models (Salifou, Zhang, Boukari, Harouna, & Cai, 2021).

Seismic-to-Well Integration: Seismic data provide a broader spatial context, while well logs offer detailed vertical resolution. Integrating these data types involves tying well-log data to seismic reflectors through synthetic seismograms. Synthetic seismograms are generated by convolving well log acoustic impedance data with a seismic wavelet, allowing for the matching of seismic events with specific geological layers observed in the well logs. This integration enhances the interpretation of seismic data and helps delineate reservoir boundaries and heterogeneities (Rijfkogel, 2020).

Multiscale Data Integration: Integrating data across scales, from core to log to seismic, is critical for comprehensive reservoir characterization. This multiscale integration involves combining high-resolution core and log data with broader-scale seismic data to create a coherent model that captures both small-scale features and large-scale structures. Techniques such as geostatistical modeling and machine learning are often employed to integrate and upscale data, ensuring consistency and reliability across different scales (Markham, Frazier, Singh, & Madden, 2023).

3.3 Data Quality and Uncertainty

The quality of petrophysical data is paramount for reliable reservoir characterization and decision-making. Ensuring data quality involves rigorous calibration, validation, and error-checking procedures. Calibration of measurement tools and instruments is essential to ensure accuracy and consistency. Well-logging tools are calibrated using standard reference materials and known formation responses. Similarly, laboratory equipment for core analysis is calibrated using reference samples to ensure precise measurements. Standardizing procedures and protocols across different data acquisition methods enhances data quality and comparability (Ogbu, Ozowe, & Ikevuje, 2024).

Validation involves cross-checking data from different sources to identify and correct discrepancies. Core data validate well log responses, while well logs are tied to seismic data through synthetic seismograms. Consistency checks, such as comparing multiple log measurements of the same property or using different seismic attributes to confirm interpretations, help identify and resolve errors (Dewett, Pigott, & Marfurt, 2021). Uncertainty is inherent in petrophysical measurements due to instrument precision, geological variability, and interpretive assumptions. Quantifying and managing these uncertainties are crucial for robust reservoir characterization. Statistical methods, including error analysis and probabilistic modeling, estimate and incorporate uncertainties into the interpretation process. Techniques such as Monte Carlo simulation and Bayesian inference are used to assess the impact of uncertainties on reservoir predictions and to develop probabilistic models that account for uncertainty in decision-making (Muronda, Marofi, Nozari, & Babamiri, 2021).

Machine learning and artificial intelligence are increasingly used to handle large and complex data sets, enhancing data quality and reducing uncertainties. These techniques can identify patterns and relationships that may not be apparent through traditional methods, improving the accuracy and reliability of petrophysical interpretations. Machine learning algorithms can be trained to predict petrophysical properties from well-log and seismic data, providing more precise estimates and reducing interpretive bias (Blasch et al., 2021).

4 Interpretation Techniques

4.1 Log interpretation

Log interpretation is a fundamental aspect of petrophysics that involves analyzing well-log data to quantitatively characterize the subsurface properties of rock formations. Well logs provide continuous records of various physical parameters measured along the borehole, such as electrical resistivity, natural gamma radiation, and acoustic velocity. These measurements are critical for identifying lithologies, evaluating porosity, determining fluid saturation, and assessing hydrocarbon potential.

One of the primary techniques in log interpretation is the analysis of resistivity logs. Resistivity measurements are used to distinguish between hydrocarbon-bearing formations and water-saturated zones. Hydrocarbons typically have higher resistivity compared to saline formation water, allowing for the identification of productive intervals. The interpretation of resistivity logs involves applying Archie's Law, which relates formation resistivity to porosity and water saturation. Petrophysicists can estimate hydrocarbon saturation and evaluate the potential for hydrocarbon production by calibrating the resistivity measurements with core data and other logs (Shao, Guo, Gao, & Liu, 2021).

Porosity logs, such as neutron and density logs, are also crucial in log interpretation. Neutron logs measure the hydrogen content in the formation, which correlates with the presence of fluids, primarily water, and hydrocarbons. Density logs measure the bulk density of the formation, which is influenced by both the rock matrix and the fluids within the pores. Petrophysicists can derive porosity values and distinguish between different fluid types by combining neutron and density logs. Cross-plotting neutron and density porosity helps identify gas zones, as gas has a lower hydrogen index and bulk density than oil and water (Stadtműller & Jarzyna, 2023).

Acoustic logs, or sonic logs, provide information on the elastic properties of the formation. Sonic logs measure the travel time of acoustic waves through the rock, which is influenced by the rock's porosity, lithology, and fluid content. By analyzing the sonic travel time and calibrating it with core data, petrophysicists can derive the porosity and mechanical properties of the formation. Sonic logs are precious for identifying fracture zones and evaluating the mechanical integrity of the reservoir, which is critical for designing hydraulic fracturing treatments (Eyinla et al., 2021). The integration of multiple log types is essential for comprehensive log interpretation. Techniques such as multi-log cross-plots and statistical methods correlate different log responses and enhance the accuracy of the interpretation. For instance, the combination of resistivity, neutron, density, and sonic logs allows for a more detailed and reliable assessment of the reservoir properties, reducing uncertainties and improving decision-making in hydrocarbon exploration and production (Mahdi & A Alrazzaq, 2023).

4.2 Seismic Interpretation

Seismic interpretation involves analyzing seismic data to delineate subsurface geological structures and integrate this information into petrophysical models. Seismic surveys provide a three-dimensional subsurface image, revealing large-scale features such as faults, folds, and stratigraphic boundaries. Integrating seismic data with well-log data enhances the understanding of the spatial distribution of reservoir properties and supports more accurate reservoir characterization (Ogbu, Iwe, Ozowe, & Ikevuje, 2024).

One of the primary methods in seismic interpretation is the identification of seismic reflectors, which represent boundaries between different geological layers. These reflectors are identified based on changes in acoustic impedance, influenced by rock properties and fluid content variations. By correlating seismic reflectors with well-log data, petrophysicists can map the lateral extent of reservoir units and identify structural traps that may contain hydrocarbons (Dewett et al., 2021). Seismic attribute analysis is another crucial technique in seismic interpretation. Seismic attributes, such as amplitude, phase, and frequency, provide additional information about the subsurface properties. For example, amplitude variations can indicate lithology and fluid content changes, while frequency attributes can highlight thin beds and discontinuities. Attribute analysis enhances the resolution of seismic interpretation and helps identify subtle geological features that may not be apparent in the raw seismic data (Nanda, 2021a).

Advanced seismic techniques, such as amplitude variation with offset (AVO) analysis and seismic inversion, further improve the integration of seismic data into petrophysical models. AVO analysis examines the changes in seismic reflection amplitude with different angles of incidence, providing insights into fluid content and rock properties. Seismic inversion converts seismic reflection data into quantitative rock property models, such as acoustic impedance, which can be directly compared with well-log data. These techniques enable a more detailed and quantitative interpretation of the seismic data, supporting better reservoir characterization and development planning. Time-lapse seismic, or 4D seismic, is an emerging technique that involves repeated seismic surveys to monitor reservoir changes during production. Petrophysicists can observe changes in fluid saturation, pressure, and reservoir compaction by comparing time-lapse seismic data, providing valuable information for reservoir management and enhanced oil recovery (EOR) strategies (Cannon, 2020).

4.3 Advanced Computational Techniques

Advanced computational techniques, including machine learning and artificial intelligence, are revolutionizing petrophysical interpretation by enabling the analysis of large and complex data sets with greater accuracy and efficiency. These techniques can identify patterns and relationships in the data that may not be apparent through traditional methods, enhancing the reliability of petrophysical models and predictions.

Machine learning algorithms, such as neural networks, support vector machines, and decision trees, are increasingly used to predict petrophysical properties from well-log and seismic data. These algorithms can be trained on large data sets to learn the complex relationships between input features (e.g., log measurements and seismic attributes) and output targets (e.g., porosity and permeability). Once trained, these models can provide rapid and accurate predictions of petrophysical properties in new wells and seismic surveys, reducing the need for extensive manual interpretation (Pan, Torres-Verdín, Duncan, & Pyrcz, 2023).

Artificial intelligence techniques like deep learning offer more potential for petrophysical interpretation. Deep learning models, particularly convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and recurrent neural networks (RNNs) can capture intricate spatial and temporal patterns in the data. For example, CNNs can analyze seismic images and identify geological features, while RNNs can model the temporal changes in well-log data. These AI techniques enhance the automation and precision of petrophysical interpretation, enabling more detailed and reliable reservoir characterization (Xu, Fu, Lin, Li, & Ma, 2022). In addition to predictive modeling, advanced computational techniques are used for data integration and uncertainty quantification. Techniques such as data fusion and ensemble modeling combine multiple data sources and models to improve the robustness and reliability of petrophysical interpretations. Probabilistic methods, including Bayesian inference and Monte Carlo simulation, quantify uncertainties and incorporate them into decision-making processes. These approaches ensure that the uncertainties in the data and models are appropriately accounted for, reducing the risks associated with hydrocarbon exploration and production (Ogbu, Eyo-Udo, Adeyinka, Ozowe, & Ikevuje, 2023; Zhang, 2021).

5 Applications and Implications

5.1 Hydrocarbon Exploration

Quantitative interpretation in petrophysics plays a pivotal role in hydrocarbon exploration by providing the tools and techniques to identify and evaluate potential hydrocarbon reservoirs accurately. Petrophysicists can develop detailed subsurface models that highlight zones likely to contain hydrocarbons by integrating well-log data, core samples, and seismic surveys.

One of the primary applications is in the identification of hydrocarbon-bearing formations. Well logs, such as resistivity and porosity logs, are essential for distinguishing between water-saturated and hydrocarbon-saturated zones. High resistivity readings often indicate the presence of hydrocarbons, while porosity logs help determine the storage capacity of the rock. Petrophysicists can accurately estimate the volume and distribution of hydrocarbons in the reservoir by calibrating these logs with core data (Stadtműller & Jarzyna, 2023). Seismic data further enhance hydrocarbon exploration by providing a three-dimensional subsurface view. Seismic reflection techniques help identify structural traps, such as faults and folds, that can contain hydrocarbons. Advanced seismic attributes, such as amplitude variations with offset (AVO), allow for the detection of fluid types and the characterization of reservoir properties. Combining well log and seismic data through quantitative interpretation ensures a comprehensive evaluation of potential hydrocarbon reservoirs, reducing exploration risks and increasing the chances of successful drilling operations (Babayeju et al., 2024; Stadtműller & Jarzyna, 2023).

5.2 Reservoir Characterization

Quantitative interpretation is crucial for the detailed characterization of hydrocarbon reservoirs. This involves determining the vital petrophysical properties of the reservoir, such as porosity, permeability, and fluid saturation, which are essential for predicting reservoir performance and planning extraction strategies.

Porosity and permeability are fundamental properties that dictate the reservoir's ability to store and transmit fluids. Quantitative interpretation techniques, such as core analysis and well-log integration, allow for precise measurement and mapping of these properties throughout the reservoir. This detailed characterization helps identify high-quality reservoir zones that can yield higher production rates. Fluid saturation, which indicates the proportion of pore space occupied by hydrocarbons and water, is another critical parameter (Baniak, La Croix, & Gingras, 2022). Quantitative interpretation of resistivity and porosity logs, combined with core data, enables accurate estimation of fluid saturation levels. This information is vital for calculating hydrocarbon reserves and planning extraction methods, such as water flooding or gas injection, to enhance recovery.

Advanced computational techniques, including machine learning and artificial intelligence, further improve reservoir characterization. These techniques can analyze large and complex data sets, identifying patterns and relationships that may not be apparent through traditional methods. For example, machine learning algorithms can predict porosity and permeability from seismic attributes, providing a more detailed and continuous reservoir characterization (Hussein, Stewart, Sacrey, Wu, & Athale, 2021; Topór & Sowiżdżał, 2022).

The implications of quantitative interpretation extend to reservoir management and optimization. Detailed reservoir models, developed through quantitative interpretation, simulate various production scenarios and optimize extraction strategies. This helps in maximizing hydrocarbon recovery while minimizing costs and environmental impacts. Time-lapse seismic (4D seismic) and real-time data monitoring enable dynamic reservoir management, allowing for adjustments to extraction strategies based on changing reservoir conditions (Kang et al., 2022; Kaur, Zhong, Sun, & Fomel, 2022; Mitra, 2024).

6 Conclusion

The quantitative interpretation of petrophysical data is a cornerstone of modern hydrocarbon exploration and reservoir characterization. This comprehensive approach integrates diverse data types—core samples, well logs, and seismic surveys—to construct detailed and accurate models of subsurface formations. The foundational understanding of vital petrophysical properties such as porosity, permeability, and fluid saturation, coupled with robust mathematical models and advanced computational techniques, enables petrophysicists to make informed decisions about hydrocarbon potential and reservoir management.

In hydrocarbon exploration, quantitative interpretation reduces the uncertainty and risk associated with drilling operations by accurately identifying hydrocarbon-bearing zones and delineating structural traps. The combination of well-log analysis and seismic interpretation provides a multi-dimensional view of the subsurface, enhancing the precision of hydrocarbon estimates and increasing the likelihood of successful discoveries.

Reservoir characterization benefits immensely from quantitative interpretation by offering detailed insights into reservoir properties and performance. Accurate measurement and mapping of porosity, permeability, and fluid saturation are crucial for estimating hydrocarbon reserves and planning efficient extraction strategies. Advanced computational techniques, such as machine learning and artificial intelligence, further refine these characterizations, uncovering patterns and relationships within complex data sets that traditional methods might miss. The implications of these advanced techniques extend beyond initial exploration and characterization. They play a vital role in ongoing reservoir management, enabling dynamic optimization of production strategies. Real-time data monitoring and time-lapse seismic surveys facilitate adaptive management, allowing timely adjustments that enhance recovery and reduce environmental impacts.

Overall, integrating quantitative interpretation in petrophysics represents a significant advancement in the hydrocarbon industry. It improves the accuracy and reliability of subsurface evaluations and fosters more efficient and sustainable resource utilization. As computational technologies continue to evolve, the capabilities of quantitative interpretation will further expand, driving ongoing innovation and efficiency in hydrocarbon exploration and production. This holistic and dynamic approach ensures that the hydrocarbon industry can meet the increasing energy demands while minimizing costs and environmental footprints.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Abelly, E. N., Yang, F., Ngata, M. R., Mwakipunda, G. C., & Shanghvi, E. R. (2024). A field study of pore-network systems on the tight shale gas formation through adsorption-desorption technique and mercury intrusion capillary porosimeter: percolation theory and simulations. *Energy*, 131771.

[2] Aghli, G., Moussavi-Harami, R., & Tokhmechi, B. (2020). Integration of sonic and resistivity conventional logs for identification of fracture parameters in the carbonate reservoirs (A case study, Carbonate Asmari Formation, Zagros Basin, SW Iran). *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 186*, 106728.

[3] Ahmad, S., Wadood, B., Khan, S., Ahmed, S., Ali, F., & Saboor, A. (2020). Integrating the palynostratigraphy, petrography, X-ray diffraction and scanning electron microscopy data for evaluating hydrocarbon reservoir

potential of Jurassic rocks in the Kala Chitta Range, Northwest Pakistan. *Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production Technology, 10*(8), 3111-3123.

[4] Ali, M., Zhu, P., Huolin, M., Pan, H., Abbas, K., Ashraf, U., . . . Zhang, H. (2023). A novel machine learning approach for detecting outliers, rebuilding well logs, and enhancing reservoir characterization. *Natural Resources Research, 32*(3), 1047-1066.

[5] Anifowose, F., Mezghani, M., Badawood, S., & Ismail, J. (2022). Contributions of machine learning to quantitative and real-time mud gas data analysis: A critical review. *Applied Computing and Geosciences, 16*, 100095.

[6] Babayeju, O. A., Jambol, D. D., & Esiri, A. E. (2024). Reducing drilling risks through enhanced reservoir characterization for safer oil and gas operations.

[7] Balberg, I. (2021). Simple holistic solution to Archie's-law puzzle in porous media. *Physical Review E, 103*(6), 063005.

[8] Baniak, G. M., La Croix, A. D., & Gingras, M. K. (2022). Recent advancements in characterizing permeability and porosity distributions in bioturbated flow media. *Earth-Science Reviews, 232*, 104162.

[9] Bealessio, B. A., Alonso, N. A. B., Mendes, N. J., Sande, A. V., & Hascakir, B. (2021). A review of enhanced oil recovery (EOR) methods applied in Kazakhstan. *Petroleum, 7*(1), 1-9.

[10] Bhattacharya, S. (2021). *A primer on machine learning in subsurface geosciences* (Vol. 1): Springer.

[11] Blasch, E., Pham, T., Chong, C.-Y., Koch, W., Leung, H., Braines, D., & Abdelzaher, T. (2021). Machine learning/artificial intelligence for sensor data fusion–opportunities and challenges. *IEEE Aerospace and Electronic Systems Magazine, 36*(7), 80-93.

[12] Cannon, S. (2020). *Reservoir Management: A Practical Guide*: John Wiley & Sons.

[13] Daraojimba, C., Agho, M., Adeyinka, M., Okogwu, C., Ikwe, U., Ufoaro, O., & Ayodeji, S. (2023). Big data in the oil sector: a review of how analytics is revolutionizing supply chain operations. *Journal Economic Growth & Environment Sustainability Journal (EGNES), 2*, 85-93.

[14] Dentith, M., Enkin, R. J., Morris, W., Adams, C., & Bourne, B. (2020). Petrophysics and mineral exploration: a workflow for data analysis and a new interpretation framework. *Geophysical Prospecting, 68*(1-Cost-Effective and Innovative Mineral Exploration Solutions), 178-199.

[15] Dewett, D. T., Pigott, J. D., & Marfurt, K. J. (2021). A review of seismic attribute taxonomies, discussion of their historical use, and presentation of a seismic attribute communication framework using data analysis concepts. *Interpretation, 9*(3), B39-B64.

[16] Eyinla, D. S., Oladunjoye, M. A., Olayinka, A. I., & Bate, B. B. (2021). Rock physics and geomechanical application in the interpretation of rock property trends for overpressure detection. *Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production, 11*, 75-95.

[17] Ganat, T. A.-A. O. (2020). *Fundamentals of reservoir rock properties*: Springer.

[18] Gluyas, J. G., & Swarbrick, R. E. (2021). *Petroleum geoscience*: John Wiley & Sons.

[19] Gooneratne, C. P., Magana-Mora, A., Otalvora, W. C., Affleck, M., Singh, P., Zhan, G. D., & Moellendick, T. E. (2020). Drilling in the fourth industrial revolution—Vision and challenges. *IEEE Engineering Management Review, 48*(4), 144-159.

[20] Gupta, I., Tran, N., Devegowda, D., Jayaram, V., Rai, C., Sondergeld, C., & Karami, H. (2020). Looking ahead of the bit using surface drilling and petrophysical data: Machine-learning-based real-time geosteering in volve field. *SPE Journal, 25*(02), 990-1006.

[21] Hussein, M., Stewart, R. R., Sacrey, D., Wu, J., & Athale, R. (2021). Unsupervised machine learning using 3D seismic data applied to reservoir evaluation and rock type identification. *Interpretation, 9*(2), T549-T568.

[22] Jarzyna, J. A., Baudzis, S., Janowski, M., & Puskarczyk, E. (2021). Geothermal resources recognition and characterization on the basis of well logging and petrophysical laboratory data, polish case studies. *Energies, 14*(4), 850.

[23] Kang, Z., Zhang, Y., Hou, B., Chen, G., Hao, X., Qin, H., & Qin, W. (2022). Using the multi-component induction logging data to evaluate the geometric parameters of the hydraulic fracture. *Journal of Geophysics and Engineering, 19*(5), 1163-1179.

[24] Kaur, H., Zhong, Z., Sun, A., & Fomel, S. (2022). Time-lapse seismic data inversion for estimating reservoir parameters using deep learning. *Interpretation, 10*(1), T167-T179.

[25] Kennedy, M. (2015). *Practical petrophysics*: Elsevier.

[26] Li, P.-N., Xu, Y.-S., & Wang, X.-W. (2023). Estimation of hydraulic conductivity by the modified Kozeny–Carman equation considering the derivation principle of the original equation. *Journal of Hydrology, 621*, 129658.

[27] Li, Y., Hu, Z., Cai, C., Liu, X., Duan, X., Chang, J., . . . Zeng, S. (2021). Evaluation method of water saturation in shale: A comprehensive review. *Marine and Petroleum Geology, 128*, 105017.

[28] Mahdi, D. S., & A Alrazzaq, A. A. A. (2023). Rock Mechanical Properties: A Review of Experimental Tests and Prediction Approaches. *Iraqi Journal of Oil and Gas Research (IJOGR), 3*(1), 106-115.

[29] Markham, K., Frazier, A. E., Singh, K. K., & Madden, M. (2023). A review of methods for scaling remotely sensed data for spatial pattern analysis. *Landscape Ecology, 38*(3), 619-635.

[30] Mitra, P. P. (2024). 4D seismic for reservoir management. In *Developments in Structural Geology and Tectonics* (Vol. 6, pp. 285-326): Elsevier.

[31] Muronda, M. T., Marofi, S., Nozari, H., & Babamiri, O. (2021). Uncertainty analysis of reservoir operation based on stochastic optimization approach using the generalized likelihood uncertainty estimation method. *Water Resources Management, 35*(10), 3179-3201.

[32] Nanda, N. C. (2021a). Analysing seismic attributes. In *Seismic Data Interpretation and Evaluation for Hydrocarbon Exploration and Production: A Practitioner's Guide* (pp. 205-222): Springer.

[33] Nanda, N. C. (2021b). *Seismic data interpretation and evaluation for hydrocarbon exploration and production*: Springer.

[34] Ogbu, A. D., Eyo-Udo, N. L., Adeyinka, M. A., Ozowe, W., & Ikevuje, A. H. (2023). A conceptual procurement model for sustainability and climate change mitigation in the oil, gas, and energy sectors. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 20*(3), 1935-1952.

[35] Ogbu, A. D., Iwe, K. A., Ozowe, W., & Ikevuje, A. H. (2024). Advances in machine learning-driven pore pressure prediction in complex geological settings. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal, 5*(7), 1648-1665.

[36] Ogbu, A. D., Ozowe, W., & Ikevuje, A. H. (2024). Remote work in the oil and gas sector: An organizational culture perspective. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews, 20*(1), 188-207.

[37] Okon, A. N., Adewole, S. E., & Uguma, E. M. (2021). Artificial neural network model for reservoir petrophysical properties: porosity, permeability and water saturation prediction. *Modeling Earth Systems and Environment, 7*(4), 2373-2390.

[38] Ozowe, W., Ogbu, A. D., & Ikevuje, A. H. (2024). Data science's pivotal role in enhancing oil recovery methods while minimizing environmental footprints: An insightful review. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal, 5*(7), 1621-1633.

[39] Pan, W., Torres-Verdín, C., Duncan, I. J., & Pyrcz, M. J. (2023). Improving multiwell petrophysical interpretation from well logs via machine learning and statistical models. *Geophysics, 88*(2), D159-D175.

[40] Rijfkogel, L. (2020). *Integrated analysis of seismic attributes and well-logs in reservoir characterization: seismic-facies classification and reservoir facies mapping.*

[41] Salifou, I. A. M., Zhang, H., Boukari, I. O., Harouna, M., & Cai, Z. (2021). New vuggy porosity models-based interpretation methodology for reliable pore system characterization, Ordovician carbonate reservoirs in Tahe Oilfield, North Tarim Basin. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 196*, 107700.

[42] Shao, S., Guo, X., Gao, C., & Liu, H. (2021). Quantitative relationship between the resistivity distribution of the by-product plume and the hydrocarbon degradation in an aged hydrocarbon contaminated site. *Journal of Hydrology, 596*, 126122.

[43] Stadtműller, M., & Jarzyna, J. A. (2023). Estimation of petrophysical parameters of carbonates based on well logs and laboratory measurements, a review. *Energies, 16*(10), 4215.

[44] Tiab, D., & Donaldson, E. C. (2024). *Petrophysics: theory and practice of measuring reservoir rock and fluid transport properties*: Elsevier.

[45] Topór, T., & Sowiżdżał, K. (2022). Application of machine learning tools for seismic reservoir characterization study of porosity and saturation type. *Nafta-Gaz, 78*(3), 165-175.

[46] Verma, S., Bhattacharya, S., Fett, T., Avseth, P., & Lehocki, I. (2022). Imaging and interpretation: Seismic, rock physics and image log analysis workflows for deepwater systems. In *Deepwater Sedimentary Systems* (pp. 555-591): Elsevier.

[47] Wang, M., Wang, J., Xu, G., Zheng, Y., & Kang, X. (2021). Improved model for predicting the hydraulic conductivity of soils based on the Kozeny–Carman equation. *Hydrology Research, 52*(3), 719-733.

[48] Xu, C., Fu, L., Lin, T., Li, W., & Ma, S. (2022). Machine learning in petrophysics: Advantages and limitations. *Artificial Intelligence in Geosciences, 3*, 157-161.

[49] Zhang, J. (2021). Modern Monte Carlo methods for efficient uncertainty quantification and propagation: A survey. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Statistics, 13*(5), e1539.